

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,466	09/01/2004	Yoshimi Nishii	MAT-8598US	8942
23122 RATNERPRES	7590 10/02/2007		EXAMINER ABDUL-ALI, OMAR R ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
P O BOX 980				
VALLEY FOR	GE, PA 19482-0980			
			2178	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/506,466	NISHII ET AL.	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Omar Abdul-Ali	2178	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become AB ANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 J This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the communication in the condition of the communication in the condition of the communication in the	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-23 and 25-27 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-23 and 25-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accompact that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the corr	er. cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

The following action is in response to the response filed July 25, 2007. Amended Claims 1, 2, 4-23, and 25-27 are pending and have been considered below.

1. Examiner's Note: The prior art rejections have been withdrawn as necessitated by Applicant's amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained *hough the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-23, and 25-27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gottfurcht et al. (US 7,020,845) in view of Schirris (US 2002/0193986).
- Claims 1, 10, and 17: Gottfurcht discloses a method and system of outputting data, comprising:
- a. a selection instruction reception step for receiving a button selection instruction for selecting one button out of a plurality of buttons that are displayed on an input screen, each of the buttons responsive to selection, perform a function of providing identical data, the identical data having different attribute values for each of the buttons (column 8, lines 4-42). Gottfurcht discloses computer system, which includes a

Art Unit: 2178

processor coupled to various memory units. It is typical for memory units to be partitioned to store various types of information, which includes selection information, plural pairs of data, etc. It is inherent that there would be a section for receiving transmission instruction commands and data obtaining sections for obtaining the data from the data memory. The interface supports interaction and selection with mice or other pointer devices as a variation of using a keypad. Figure 2b discloses two buttons, My AOL and AOL Home, which both link to an AOL site with different attributes. My AOL is a personalized AOL Homepage, while AOL Home is the basic homepage.

Gottfurcht records the selection in memory, but does not explicitly disclose translating the identical data related to the selected button. Schirris discloses a similar system for outputting data that further discloses translating hyperlinks and words (Figure 9) by selecting graphical user interface radio buttons. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to translate the components of the interface of Gottfurcht in order to provide the same attributes translated in different languages. One would have been motivated to translate the identical data in order to provide the invention to users that speak different languages. After translating the data to another language, the data output based on the attribute value would be a translated version of the identical data. In this case, the AOL Home and My AOL sites would be translated to another language.

Gottfurcht and Schirris disclose a method and system for outputting data, and Gottfurcht further discloses filtering the translated data based on attribute values by filtering the results of a selection instruction, introducing a new page based on the

Art Unit: 2178

selection by the user (column 8, lines 44-67). Multiple categories are displayed and each selection of a category filters the results to specific elements contained within a selected category.

Claim 2: Gottfurcht and Schirris disclose a method and system as in Claim 1 above, and Gottfurcht further discloses:

a. the data outputting step outputs data that is a homepage on an Internet (column 2, lines 20-24).

Claims 4 and 25: Gottfurcht and Schirris disclose a method and system as in Claims 1 and 2 above, and Gottfurcht further discloses:

a. the data outputting step changes data to be output by changing a place of outputting data based on the selection instruction information recorded in the selection instruction information recording step (column 8, lines 44-62).

Claims 5 and 26: Gottfurcht and Schirris disclose a method and system as in Claims 1 and 2 above, and Gottfurcht further discloses:

a. the data outputting step changes data to be output by providing the data with a given process based on the selection instruction information recorded in the selection instruction information recording step (column 8, lines 44-62).

Art Unit: 2178

Claims 6, 13, and 20: Gottfurcht and Schirris disclose a method and system as in Claims 5, 10, and 17 above, and Schirris further discloses the given process is a language conversion (Figure 9). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to change the data by performing a language conversion. One would have been motivated to change the data by performing a language conversion in order to allow users of multiple language backgrounds to use the invention.

Claims 7, 8, 14, 15, 21, and 22: Gottfurcht and Schirris disclose a method and system as in Claims 5, 10, and 17 above, but neither reference explicitly discloses the given process is conversion of a kanji letter or a hiragana letter. However, Schirris discloses support for Chinese and Japanese languages. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to change the data by performing a language conversion. One would have been motivated to change the data by performing a language conversion in order to allow users of multiple language backgrounds to use the invention.

Claims 9, 16, 23, and 27: Gottfurcht and Schirris disclose a method and system as in Claims 1, 10, 17, and 2 above, and Gottfurcht further discloses:

a. the data outputting step changes a display by selecting and outputting one display data out of a plurality of display data, which can form the display, based on the

Art Unit: 2178

selection instruction information recorded in the selection instruction information recording step (column 8, lines 44-62).

Claims 11 and 18: <u>Gottfurcht</u> and <u>Schirris</u> disclose a method and system as in Claims 10 and 17 above, and <u>Gottfurcht</u> further discloses:

a. the given process is data filtering (column 8, lines 44-62).

Claims 12 and 19: Gottfurcht and Schirris disclose a method and system as in Claims 10 and 17 above, and Gottfurcht further discloses:

a. the given process is rearrangement of data (column 8, lines 44-62).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

It is noted that any citation [[s]] to specific, pages, columns, lines, or figures in the prior art references and any interpretation of the references should not be considered to be limiting in any way. A reference is relevant for all it contains and may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art. [[See, MPEP 2123]]

Regarding Claims 10 and 17, it is noted that the Examiner addressed the device claimed by the applicant in the original office action. Since <u>Gottfurcht</u> discloses a computer system, it is inherent that the system includes memory structures and

Art Unit: 2178

methods of obtaining and transmitting data, as well as processors. As disclosed above, it is typical for memory units to be partitioned to store various types of information, which includes selection information, plural pairs of data, etc.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Abdul-Ali whose telephone number is 571-270-1694. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri(Alternate Fridays Off) 8:30 - 6:00 EST.

Art Unit: 2178

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on 571-272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

OAA 9/19/2007

> STEPHEN HONG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 8